

Assessment and management of sleep disturbance in people with MS: a survey of clinical practice

Ewa Johansson-Charles

On behalf of Therapists in MS (TiMS) Research Group

RIMS Conference May 2012

Therapists in MS (TiMS)



Therapists in Multiple Sclerosis (TiMS) is an initiative which brings together allied health professionals from across the UK who share a special interest in Multiple Sclerosis – currently about 180 members

TiMS Research Group Aims

- Select and answer clinical research questions
- Assist novice researchers
- •Educate (themselves and others) through process of undertaking research projects
- Create opportunities for multi-centred research

Process



- National call for research questions 15 responses
- Question selected: "How is sleep disturbance managed by therapists and nurses?"
- Literature review undertaken to determine:
 - Was the answer already available?
 - Was the question answerable?



Background: Sleep Disturbance

- Frequently reported in MS; far more common than in the general population (Brass et al 2010)
- Adversely affects quality of life and is related to increased risk of morbidity (Caminero & Bartholomew 2011).
- Growing evidence to support clinical effectiveness of specific non-pharmacological treatments, either alone of in combination with drugs (Sateia & Nowell, 2004).
- No literature describing what constitutes routine practice by nurses and allied health professionals



Objective

 To gain an overview of the management of sleep disturbance by UK nurses and allied health professionals involved in the care of people with MS.

Research approach and Methodology



Cross-sectional survey using convenience sampling

- Sample: Health professionals attending the annual MS Trust Conference, UK
 - ➤ All delegates invited to participate
 - > No exclusion criteria



The survey instrument

- No relevant survey questionnaire identified in literature → survey questionnaire developed
- Questionnaire development:
 - ➤ Views and resources sought (via face to face and TiMS web-based discussion forum)
 - Draft questionnaire developed
 - Questionnaire piloted with 20 therapists / nurses
 - ➤ Questionnaire refined, re-piloted with 5 therapists / nurses



Survey instrument

- 16 closed questions with categorical response options
- One open ended question for general comments
- Estimated completion time 15 minutes



Survey instrument

Divided into 4 parts:

- 1. Demographic data e.g. gender, profession, work setting (6 items)
- 2. Whether and how sleep disturbance is assessed (4 items)
- 3. How sleep disturbance is managed (3 items)
- 4. Self-rating of knowledge and confidence in managing sleep disturbance (2 items)



Data collection

- All delegates provided with survey questionnaire in delegate packs
- Request to complete questionnaires and hand in to collection boxes during the 3 day conference period
- Participation was voluntary and anonymous
- Consent assumed if questionnaire completed and handed in (outlined in participant information sheet)



Results

- 71% response rate (181 / 256 delegates)
- 93% female (168/181)

Occupation	
Nurses	58%
Occupational Therapists	13%
Physiotherapists	21%
Others e.g. Dr's, speech & language therapists, psychologists, academics	9%
Work setting	
Part of multidisciplinary team	74%
MS specific setting	58%
Neurology specialist setting	29%



Results: Demographics (n=181)

Time since qualification	%
>15 years	77%
11-15 years	8%
6-10 years	12%
< 5 years	3%
Time in MS Practice	
> 10 years in MS practice	43%



Assessment & Evaluation (n=181)

	Yes	No	Unsure
Sleep management is part of work role	85%	8%	7%
Use a specific sleep assessment instrument		67%	
Confident with sleep management knowledge	10%		
Would value further sleep management training	49%		

- 35% unaware that specific sleep assessment instruments exist
- Variety assessment instruments used, including:
 - Epworth Sleepiness Scale
 - Visual Analogue Scale
 - Pittsburgh Sleep Diary

Key Sleep Interventions Used (n=181)



General verbal advice	81%
Verbal advice tailored to the individual	74%
Incorporated within other symptom management	72%
Within fatigue management/energy conservation programmes	61%



Discussion

- Provides an initial understanding of what is happening in current UK practice.
- Suggests sleep practice is variable and specific knowledge may be limited
- Vast majority (85%) of nurses and allied health professionals considered sleep management their role
- Despite this only 10% were confident in their knowledge of sleep disturbance
- Only 8% reported confidence in carrying out a sleep assessment



Discussion and future research

- Verification is required
- Exploration in more depth required:
 - Review current assessments
 - Investigate effectiveness of non-pharmacological sleep interventions in MS
 - Determine knowledge levels about sleep disturbance by therapists and nurses
 - Determine what type of training would be most helpful to increase knowledge and confidence





Research Team

- Jenny Freeman
- Paula Gardiner
- Susan Hourihan
- Kay McDowall
- Alison Nock
- Ewa Johansson-Charles
- Helen Rowlands

MS Trust Support

- Amy Bowen
- Brenda King

Ethics approval gained from Plymouth University Faculty of Health, Education and Society Ethics Committee, October 2011.